Tobacco taxes benefit the poor and young people as well as generate more public revenues.

Over the long term, higher taxes on tobacco result in the generation of higher individual income, enhanced well-being and living conditions, especially among the poorest sectors of society. This is because tax increases reduce tobacco consumption, and the reduction is relatively larger among low-income households.

In 2015, diseases related to tobacco represented a direct cost of around 0.75% of the GDP in Argentina. Put in perspective, that nation dedicated 0.5% of its GDP to the Asignación Universal del Hijo (Universal Child Allowance) program, its largest income transfer program dedicated to fighting poverty (UNICEF, 2017).

Traditionally, analyses of the impact of tobacco taxes have only taken into consideration the burden of the taxes on household resources; they have not examined the indirect effects of higher taxes. This research incorporates indirect effects and finds the following:

- Reduced consumption of tobacco products results in better health, lower medical expenses, longer life expectancy and more time for income-generating activities.
- Faced with a tax increase that results in a 20 percent increase in the price of cigarettes, the poorest decile of households would save 4 percentage points of their income; these savings are derived primarily from decreased medical expenses and higher labor income.
- Young people (ages 15-24) are the most impacted by a change in the price of cigarettes. Duplicating the price of a pack of cigarettes reduces the number of smokers in the overall population by 3%, but among this age group the reduction is 6.5%.

1 De acuerdo con la Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS) una de cada diez muertes en el mundo es atribuible al uso de tabaco (WHO, 2017).

CONTEXT

Tobacco use represents a major obstacle to development for countries around the world. In addition to being the leading cause of preventable death, smoking-related diseases cost billions of dollars every year. They impose high economic costs in terms of direct medical care as well as losses in productivity (WHO 2015b). Increasing the tax on tobacco is considered one of the most effective methods of removing this obstacle. When the higher tax leads smokers to reduce consumption or quit smoking, their health improves. Even when the tax does not result in a change in behavior and individuals continue to smoke, the government has a strong and justifiable source of income.

A common objection to tobacco taxes is that they tend to fall disproportionately on lower income families, as these households usually allocate a significantly higher proportion of their income to tobacco spending. This objection is grounded in at least two faulty assumptions: i)
that all individuals throughout the income distribution react similarly to an increase in the price of cigarettes (caused by tax increases); and ii) that changes in tobacco taxation affect households only through the expenditure on tobacco. First, lower-income individuals are more sensitive to changes in cigarette prices—that is, they have a higher price elasticity for demand. As a result, price increases have a higher relative impact on their tobacco use, generating changes in consumption patterns throughout the income distribution. Secondly, tobacco taxes can affect household spending beyond tobacco expenditures itself. In particular, if a tax discourages consumption, households can expect to save on future medical expenses associated with smoking-related diseases; 2 they will also have more years in the labor workforce, given their longer life expectancy. 3 As lower-income households consume relatively more tobacco, savings in medical expenses and increases in future labor income will be relatively greater for them (Fuchs and Meneses, 2017).

In this CEDLAS investigation, data from Argentina’s National Survey of Household Expenditures conducted between 2004 and 2005 (ENGHo 2004/2005) is used to estimate the distributive incidence of changes in tobacco taxes. The study estimates differential price elasticities of demand for cigarettes by income level, and also considers the fact that changes in the price of tobacco products can generate indirect effects. These effects have an impact on the individuals’ budgets, particularly by reducing their projected medical expenses in treatments of smoking-related diseases and increasing their income due to a lowered risk of premature death.

RESULTS OF RESEARCH

The results indicate an important difference in individuals’ sensitivities to an increase in cigarette prices. Figure 1 shows that lower-income consumers have higher price elasticities of demand for cigarettes, in absolute value. Specifically, the price elasticity for a person with the overall average income in Argentina is -0.65, as compared to -0.85 for an individual with the average income of the lowest decile, and -0.44 for one person with the average income of the highest decile. Considering these results, a 10% increase in the price of cigarettes would decrease consumption by 8.5% (4.4%) for the poorest (richest) smokers.
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Source: ENGHo 2004/2005, INDEC. Error bars represent a 90% confidence interval.

This research also finds differences in price elasticities among age groups. Young people (15-24 years) are the most sensitive to changes in the price of cigarettes. Doubling the price of a pack of cigarettes would reduce the number of smokers overall by approximately 3%, but the greatest response would be found among young people, 6.5% of whom would quit smoking (Figure 2).

---

2 Las enfermedades relacionadas al tabaco representaron en el año 2015 un costo anual directo de aproximadamente 59 mil millones de pesos, de los cuales 37% son atribuible al tabaquismo, monto equivalente a aproximadamente 0.75 puntos del Producto Bruto Interno. Para tomar una dimensión relativa de este valor, Argentina destinó en 2016 alrededor de 0.52 puntos del PBI a su programa de transferencia no condicionada más importante para la lucha contra la pobreza, la Asignación Universal por Hijo (UNICEF, 2017).

3 Alcaraz et al. (2016) también estima que aproximadamente 884 mil años de vida son perdidos en Argentina por año debido al consumo de tabaco.
FIGURE 2. Estimation of price elasticities of demand for cigarettes in Argentina. By age groups. Based on the National Household Expenditure Survey (ENGH0o 2004/2005).

The results also suggest that, by including indirect effects and considering heterogeneity in individuals’ sensitivities to price changes, an increase in the price of cigarettes improves income distribution. The main mechanism behind this result is the considerable savings in medical expenses experienced by lower-income individuals (Figure 3).

FIGURE 3. Effects of an increase in cigarette prices on income distribution in Argentina. Direct and indirect effects. Change in percentages.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- These findings suggest that increases in tobacco taxes in recent years in Argentina improved the well-being of the population, especially for poorer households and younger individuals.
- Higher tobacco taxes can generate greater income, enhance well-being and improve living conditions over the long term, especially for the poorest individuals. This is because higher taxes reduce the consumption of tobacco products, and this reduction is relatively larger for lower income households.
- Reduced consumption of tobacco results in better health, lower medical expenses, longer life expectancy, and more time for income-generating activities.
- The results of this research also indicate that policies that increase the price of cigarettes and other tobacco products should continue. Argentina could surpass the World Health Organization’s recommendations and become a pioneer in applying high tax rates on tobacco in a developing country.
- This research provides strong evidence on the positive redistributive effects of tobacco taxation, while also illustrating its impact on health and productivity.